

United States Patent and Trademark Office

ele

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	O.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/747,994	47,994 12/30/2003		Ashfaq Parkar	USAV2003/0073 US NP	6604
5487	7590	06/26/2006		EXAMINER	
ROSS J.	OEHLER		LANDSMAN, ROBERT S		
SANOFI-	AVENTSI	U.S. LLC			
1041 ROUTE 202-206				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
MAIL CODE: D303A				1647	
BRIDGEWATER, NJ 08807				DATE MAILED: 06/26/2006	S

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/747,994	PARKAR ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Robert Landsman	1647
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tirr vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 M. This action is FINAL . 2b)☑ This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E.	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ∠ Claim(s) 1-46 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-43 is/are withdrawn 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ∠ Claim(s) 44-46 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) ∠ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ∠ The drawing(s) filed on 30 December 2003 is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to the december and on the december 2003 is/are pending in the application.	n from consideration. r election requirement. r. re: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ object	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct		•
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies of the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies of the priority documents application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies of the priority documents application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies of the priority documents application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies of the certified copies of the priority documents application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies of the certified copies of the certified copies of the priority documents application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies o	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1/26/04.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	

Application/Control Number: 10/747,994

Art Unit: 1647

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

1. Formal Matters

A. The Election filed 5/25/06 has been entered into the record.

B. Claims 1-46 are pending. Applicants elected Group VII, claims 44-46. Since no traversal was provided. The Election is being treated as an Election without traverse. Therefore, claims 1-43 have been withdrawn as being drawn to a non-elected invention. Claims 44-46 are the subject of this Office Action. The Restriction mailed 4/6/06 is deemed proper and is made FINAL.

2. Specification

A. The specification is objected to since the Description of Figures 3 and 4 does not contain sequence identifiers. When a sequence is presented in a drawing, regardless of the format or the manner of presentation of that sequence in the drawing, the sequence must still be included in the Sequence Listing and a sequence identifier ("SEQ ID NO:X") must be used either in the drawing or in the Brief Description of the Drawings. See MPEP '2422.02.

B. According to 37 CFR 1.821(d) (MPEP § 2422), where the description or claims of a patent application discuss a sequence listing that is set forth in the "Sequence Listing" in accordance with paragraph (c) of this section, reference must be made to the sequence by use of the assigned identifier, in the text of the description or claims, even if the sequence is also embedded in the text of the description or claims of the patent application. Sequences appear on page 25, line 1, of the specification but are not identified by SEQ ID NO as required. Similarly, page 77, lines 11, 12, 24 and 30 as well as pages 78 and 79 also have sequences.

3. Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

A. Claims 44-46 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is not supported by a specific, substantial and credible asserted utility or a well-established utility. These claims are directed to methods of identifying compounds which can modulate SEQ ID NO:2. However, the invention

Application/Control Number: 10/747,994 Page 3

Art Unit: 1647

encompassed by these claims has no apparent or disclosed patentable utility. This rejection is consistent with the current utility guidelines, published 1/5/01, 66 FR 1092. The instant application has provided a description of an isolated protein. However, the instant application does not disclose a specific and substantial biological role of this protein or its significance. Figures 6 and 7 of the instant invention show receptor binding data for a PGD receptor. However, it is not clear that the receptor used in these studies involved SEQ ID NO:2. If it is made clear on the record that SEQ ID NO:2 (SEQ ID NO:1) is the receptor that was expressed in these cells then the utility rejection will be withdrawn.

Furthermore, since the proteins of the invention are not supported by a specific and substantial asserted utility or a well established utility, the methods of using the protein also lack utility.

4. Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112, first paragraph - enablement

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

A. Claims 44-46 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to adequately teach how to use the instant invention. Specifically, since the claimed invention is not supported by a specific, substantial and credible asserted utility or a well established utility for the reasons set forth above, one skilled in the art clearly would not know how to use the claimed invention.

5. Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112, second paragraph

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

A. Claims 44-46 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being incomplete for omitting essential steps, such omission amounting to a gap between the steps. See MPEP § 2172.01. The omitted steps are: there is no end step which concludes that the test compound is, in fact, an agonist, antagonist, or inverse agonist. For example, claim 44 could be amended to recite "wherein this increase identifies the potential agonist as an agonist." It would be clearer to recite "...identifies this compound..."

Application/Control Number: 10/747,994 Page 4

Art Unit: 1647

However, there would be a lack of antecedent basis in the claim as currently written unless part (a) was rewritten to say "contacting a test compound with a cell..."

6. Title

A. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

6. Conclusion

No claim is allowable.

Advisory information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert Landsman whose telephone number is (571) 272-0888. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th 10 AM - 7 PM (eastern).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brenda Brumback can be reached on 571-272-0961. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Robert Landsman Primary Examiner Art Unit 1647